



**Michigan Department of Human Services**  
**Office of Child Support**

**Performance Incentives**  
**Fiscal Year 2006**

Every year the federal government provides incentive dollars to states for five child support performance categories. The amount Michigan receives is determined by state performance, whether the state meets the federal data reliability standards and how many other states qualify for incentives. This sum is shared between the state, county Friend of the Court and prosecutor's offices.

<b>Performance Factor</b>	<b>Michigan Performance</b>	<b>Federal Average</b>	<b>Federal Goal</b>	<b>MI Qualify for Incentive</b>
Paternity Establishment Percentage (PEP) <sup>1</sup>	90.7%	97.9%	90%	Yes
Support Order Percentage <sup>2</sup>	79.8%	77.3%	80%	Yes
Current Support Percentage <sup>3</sup>	61.4%	60.3%	80%	Yes
Arrearage Percentage <sup>4</sup>	54.3%	60.8%	80%	Yes
Cost Effectiveness <sup>5</sup>	\$5.29	\$4.58	\$5.00+	Yes
<b>Michigan received \$26 million in performance incentives in 2006*</b>				
Data Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Support Enforcement Fiscal Year 2006 Preliminary Data				

\* For performance in 2005. Incentives for performance in 2006 will be received in 2007.

[Fiscal Year 2004](#)  
[Fiscal Year 2005](#)

<sup>1</sup> Paternity establishment Percentage the most recent calendar year's paternity acknowledgements and establishments divided by the previous year's unwed births.

<sup>2</sup> Support Order Percentage is the percentage of the total caseload that has a support order

<sup>3</sup> Current Support Percentage is the percentage of cases owed current support that receive current support

<sup>4</sup> Arrearage Percentage is the percentage of cases owed arrearages that receive arrearage payment

<sup>5</sup> Cost Effectiveness indicate the amount collected for every administrative dollar spent



**Michigan Department of Human Services**  
Office of Child Support

**Performance Incentives**  
**Fiscal Year 2005\***

Every year the federal government provides incentive dollars to states for five child support performance categories. The amount Michigan receives is determined by state performance, whether the state meets the federal data reliability standards and how many other states qualify for incentives. This sum is shared between the state, county Friend of the Court and prosecutor's offices.

<b>Performance Factor</b>	<b>Michigan Performance</b>	<b>Federal Average</b>	<b>Federal Goal</b>	<b>MI Qualify for Incentive</b>
Paternity Establishment Percentage (PEP) <sup>1</sup>	88.7%	97.4%	90%	Yes
Support Order Percentage <sup>2</sup>	74.5%	75.8%	80%	Yes
Current Support Percentage <sup>3</sup>	60.5%	59.9%	80%	Yes
Arrearage Percentage <sup>4</sup>	53.2%	60%	80%	Yes
Cost Effectiveness <sup>5</sup>	\$6.70	\$4.58	\$5.00	Yes
<b>Michigan generated \$26 million in performance incentives for fiscal year 2005</b>				
Data Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Support Enforcement Fiscal Year 2005 Preliminary Data				

\* Money is received in the next fiscal year. The \$26 million was received in fiscal year 2006.

**Fiscal Year 2004**

<sup>1</sup> Paternity establishment Percentage the most recent calendar year's paternity acknowledgements and establishments divided by the previous year's unwed births.

<sup>2</sup> Support Order Percentage is the percentage of the total caseload that has a support order

<sup>3</sup> Current Support Percentage is the percentage of cases owed current support that receive current support

<sup>4</sup> Arrearage Percentage is the percentage of cases owed arrearages that receive arrearage payment

<sup>5</sup> Cost Effectiveness indicate the amount collected for every administrative dollar spent



**Michigan Department of Human Services**  
**Office of Child Support**

**Performance Incentives**  
**Fiscal Year 2004**

Every year the federal government provides incentive dollars to states for five child support performance categories. The amount Michigan receives is determined by state performance, whether the state meets the federal data reliability standards and how many other states qualify for incentives. This sum is shared between the state, county Friend of the Court and prosecutor's offices.

<b>Performance Factor</b>	<b>Michigan Performance</b>	<b>Federal Average</b>	<b>Federal Goal</b>	<b>MI Qualify for Incentive</b>
Paternity Establishment Percentage (PEP) <sup>1</sup>	86%	98.7%	90%	Yes
Support Order Percentage <sup>2</sup>	75%	74.4%	80%	Yes
Current Support Percentage <sup>3</sup>	60%	59%	80%	Yes
Arrearage Percentage <sup>4</sup>	56%	60%	80%	Yes
Cost Effectiveness <sup>5</sup>	\$5.42	\$4.38	\$5.00	Yes
<b>Michigan generated \$29 million in performance incentives for fiscal year 2004</b>				
Data Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Support Enforcement Fiscal Year 2004 Preliminary Data				

<sup>1</sup> Paternity establishment Percentage the most recent calendar year's paternity acknowledgements and establishments divided by the previous year's unwed births.

<sup>2</sup> Support Order Percentage is the percentage of the total caseload that has a support order

<sup>3</sup> Current Support Percentage is the percentage of cases owed current support that receive current support

<sup>4</sup> Arrearage Percentage is the percentage of cases owed arrearages that receive arrearage payment

<sup>5</sup> Cost Effectiveness indicate the amount collected for every administrative dollar spent